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1. Introduction

Solar energy considers one of the most important renewable energy sources. Photovoltaic-cells (PV) are the most widespread technology. PV devices convert sunlight into electricity [1]. The cumulative power produced form all major countries increased to 100 GW during at 2012 [2]. PV cells performance depending on the operating temperature as the panels' efficiency almost 15 %. The remaining absorbed energy converted into heat raises the PV panels’ temperature which makes the thermal management is necessary. [3]. PV standard test conditions state that when the panels work under 25 °C the efficiency drops 0.5 % for every degree increasing in temperature [1] So, many researches and experiments try to reduce PV cell temperature during operation. Cooling techniques can be divided into two techniques Passive cooling technique and active cooling technique. The most famous passive techniques are phase change material (PCM) heat sinks and water whose efficiency average is from 2 % to 6 % where air and radiative based passive cooling techniques are getting less attention due to their less efficiency. The average of increasing temperature for the liquid based immersion passive cooling is from 1.0 % to 22 %, liquid based active cooling technique is from 10 % to 15 %, air based cooling technique is from 3 % to 5 %, radiative based cooling technique is from 0.5 % to 1.0 %. Liquid based passive cooling technique is the best at the output efficiency but it is hard from the technical point of view. The water based cooling technique considered the best choice for the practical terms (water spray or apply a layer of water in the front side) [4, 5]. S. Niz’etic, et al. used water spray cooling which decreased the temperature from 52 °C to 24 °C in average and increased the output power to 7.7 % [6]. Also K.A. Moharram et al. designed spray system with non-pressurized water for cleaning and cooling the panels using a mathematical model determined the heating rate. At the experiment condition, the designed system operates every 5 min with cooling rate 2 °C/min in order to decrease the panel temperature 10 °C [7]. Xiao Tang et al. [8] increased output power by 8.4 % using water flow in novel micro heat pipe array [8]. Saurabh Mehrotra et al. [9] increased output power by 17.8 % using immersion PV cell at water at depth 1 cm [9]

2. Methodology

2.1. Experimental setup:

2.1.1. Solar Panel Characteristics:

Two poly crystalline solar panels used. Each panel has output power of 20 Watts and dimensions of 520*340*17mm, as shown in (Figure 1).

![Figure 1: experiment setup: dimenions of the used solar panel](image-url)
2.1.2. Fins Characteristics

Four aluminum heat-sink each one has 19 thin fins with dimensions of 90*65*80mm, as shown in (Figure 2).

![Figure 2: experiment setup: fins characteristics](image)

2.1.3. Fans Characteristics

Four small plastic fans. Each fan has dimensions of 60*60mm, as shown in (Figure 3).

![Figure 3: experiment setup: fan characteristics](image)

2.2. Experimental conditions

The experiments were done in October 2017, between 1:00 and 3:00 PM. The weather was clear and sunny with ambient temperature of 37 °C the angle of used panel is 25°.

2.3. Experimental procedures

Experiments for cooling the solar panels were developed to compare between three different techniques and its influence on the performance of the solar cells. The heat-reduction experiments were applied separately in the same operating conditions. In each experiment, the techniques applied on two identical panels, one of which is added to the cooling method and the other without cooling mode, to determine the effect of heat on the efficiency of the cell accurately.

2.3.1. Water spray method

In the first experiment, water cooling technique applied using low-power water pump connected to pipe line with holes above the panel. Every five minutes, the pump switched on for 30 seconds, to cool the panel front surface. The pump work 12 times/h, as shown in (Figure 4).

![Figure 4: experiment 1. Water spray cooling technique using power pump connected to pipe line with holes at the panel’s front side.](image)

2.3.2. Heat sink cooling technique

In the second experiment, four heat-sinks with fins attached to the panel pack surface using Ceramic paste to make a good contact between fins and rear cell surface, as shown in (Figure 5).

![Figure 5: experiment 2. Passive cooling technique using four heat sinks with fins connected at the panel’s back side.](image)

2.3.3. Cooling with fins and fans

In the third experiment, four fans added to the fins to absorb more heat using forced convection. Each fan switched on for 30 seconds every five minutes. Fans work 12 times/h, as shown in (Figure 6).

![Figure 6: experiment 3. Passive cooling technique using fins and fans connected at the panel’s back side.](image)
3. Results

3.1. Water sprays cooling technique results

Changing in temperature with time

This experiment study the effect of water sprays cooling technique. Two similar panels were placed at angle of 25°. First panel was left without any cooling, while the other one was added by a small water pump to cool it with water flow. The pump was switched on every five minutes for 30 seconds to decrease the power consumed by pump. The pump works 12 times/h. The temperature and power were measured several times at the peaks sun hours. This cooling method reduced the temperature of the panel about 7°C lower than the panel without cooling, as shown in (Figure 7).

Power changing with time

Due to the effect of cooling temperature drop, the total power produced increased about 0.5 watt i.e. 2.5 % of panel power, as shown in (Figure 8).

Power consumed statistics

Pump voltage: 9 V
Pump current: 0.08 A
Pump power: 0.72 watts
Pump power/hours: 0.72*(12*30)/3600 = 0.072 watt/h

Where, (12) represents is the numbers of pump switch on per hour, 30 represents time of switch off in seconds.

Figure 7: Experimental measurements of the module temperature versus time at the peak sun hours

Figure 8: The modules’ output power versus time at the peaks sun hours
3.2. Fins cooling technique results

Temperature changing with time

This experiment investigated the effect of fins cooling technique on panels’ temperature. First panel was left without any cooling, while the other one was added by four similar fins to absorb heat. Panels’ temperature and output power were measured at the peak sun hours. This cooling method reduced the temperature of the panel about 3 °C lower than the panel without cooling, as shown in (Figure 9).

Power changing with time

This figure represents the relation between the output power and time where power raised up nearly 0.5 watt i.e. 2.5 % of total power of the panel, as shown in (Figure 10).

![Figure 9: The effect of fins cooling technique on panels’ temperature versus time at the peak sun hours](image)

![Figure 10: The output power versus time](image)
3.3. Fins with fans cooling technique results

*Temperature changing with time*

This experiment demonstrate the effect of fins with fans cooling technique first panel was left without any cooling, while the other one was added by four similar fins to absorb heat. Each fins module added with fan switched on every five minutes for 30 seconds to decrease the power consumed by fan. The temperature and power were recorded at the peak sun hours. This cooling method reduced the temperature of the panel about 3 °C lower than the panel without cooling, as shown in (Figure 11).

*Power changing with time*

From the results, as the effect of cooling total output power of panels increased 0.5 watt as shown in (Figure 12).

![Figure 11: Effect of fins with fans on cell temperature versus time](image)

![Figure 12: Effect of fins with fans on cell power versus time](image)
Power consumed statistics

Fans voltage: 7.5 V
Fans current: 0.4 A
Fans power: 3 watts
Fans power/hours: \(3 \times (12 \times 30) / 3600 = 0.3\) watt/H

Where, (12) represents is the numbers of pump switch on per hour, (30) represents time of switch off in seconds.

4. Conclusion

In this study, three cooling techniques were applied to study the influence of cooling on the performance of PV panels. The most effective technique give the best temperature drop was the water spray technique. The temperature dropped seven degrees during the peak sun hours that’s mean it can obtain more drop in temperature in the lower temperature , The other cooling techniques give three degrees decrease in temperature. In all techniques, the power increased about 2.5% from the total power panel. The most effective technique was fins cooling due to its stability and as it does not need a power source compared with the other techniques which consumed power.
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